

Ketcherside Again  
Reuel Lemmons

Vol. 80, No. 32, Aug. 6, 1963, p. 498

We gave consideration to the Ketcherside doctrine in the Firm Foundation of June 4, 11 and 18. In the issue of June 11 we listed 12 objections to his teaching. Brother Ketcherside wrote a reply to articles 1 and 3 and filed them with us. He did not reply to article 2, which, of course contained the meat of the issue. He requested that we run his replies to articles 1 and 3. We wrote immediately asking for his reply to the doctrinal objections contained in article 2, and promised to run them and reply to them when all three were received.

Brother K. wrote back that he had not replied to article 2 because a reply could not be limited to the space used for the statement of the objection to his teaching. He promised that if granted space to reply to the twelve objections one by one he would be pleased to do it.

We have written him that we are willing to allow reasonable space to answer all 12 objections, and that we will reply to them in the same issue. This offer is based upon his willingness to carry both his articles and our replies in his own paper.

When, and if, the second article is replied to, "one by one," we will run his replies to all three of our editorials, together with our comments.